### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

1 1011

CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00477-JRG-RSP (LEAD CASE)

T-MOBILE USA, INC.

v.

Defendant.

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:22-cv-00478-JRG-RSP (Member Case)

CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS

Defendant.

COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 2:22-cv-00474-JRG-RSP (Member Case)

AT&T SERVICES INC.; AT&T MOBILITY LLC; AND AT&T CORP.

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF COBBLESTONE WIRELESS, LLC'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT AT&T MOBILITY'S COUNTERCLAIMS

Plaintiff Cobblestone Wireless, LLC ("Cobblestone" or "Plaintiff") hereby answers the Counterclaims of AT&T Mobility LLC, ("AT&T" or "Defendant"), as follows:

#### **THE PARTIES**

- 1. Admit.
- 2. Admit.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. Admit that Defendant's counterclaims arise under the patent laws of the United States and that Defendant seeks certain declaratory relief. Deny that Defendant is entitled to any such relief. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 4. Admit that Defendant's counterclaims arise under the patent laws of the United States and that Defendant seeks certain declaratory relief. Deny that Defendant is entitled to any such relief. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
  - 5. Admit.
- 6. Admit that venue is proper in this district. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 7. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 8. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

### FIRST COUNTERCLAIM DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,891,347

9. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1-8 as though fully set forth herein.

- 10. Admit that Plaintiff's allegations in its Complaint speak for themselves. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 11. Admit that Defendant denies that it infringes the '347 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
  - 12. Denied.
- 13. Admit that Defendant denies that it infringes the '347 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 14. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '347 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
  - 15. Denied.
  - 16. Denied.

## <u>SECOND COUNTERCLAIM – DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,094,888</u>

- 17. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1-16 as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. Admit that Plaintiff's allegations in its Complaint speak for themselves. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 19. Admit that Defendant denies that it infringes valid claims of the '888 Patent.

  Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
  - 20. Denied.
- 21. Admit that Defendant denies that it infringes valid claims of the '888 Patent.

  Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 22. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '888 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
  - 23. Denied.

24. Denied.

## <u>THIRD COUNTERCLAIM – DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 10,368,361</u>

- 25. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1-24 as though fully set forth herein.
- 26. Admit that Plaintiff's allegations in its Complaint speak for themselves. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 27. Admit that Defendant denies that it infringes valid claims of the '361 Patent.

  Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
  - 28. Denied.
- 29. Admit that Defendant denies that it infringes valid claims of the '361 Patent.

  Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 30. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged infringement of the '361 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
  - 31. Denied.
  - 32. Denied.

# FOURTH COUNTERCLAIM – DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,554,196

- 33. Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 1-32 as though fully set forth herein.
- 34. Admit that Plaintiff's allegations in its Complaint speak for themselves. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
- 35. Admit that Defendant denies that it infringes valid claims of the '196 Patent.

  Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.
  - 36. Denied.

37. Admit that Defendant denies that it infringes valid claims of the '196 Patent.

Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

38. Admit that there is a case or controversy between the parties concerning alleged

infringement of the '888 Patent. Deny any other allegations in this paragraph.

39. Denied.

40. Denied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Cobblestone prays for the following relief with respect to Defendant's

counterclaims:

A. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff on all counterclaims asserted by Defendant;

B. An adjudication that Defendant is not entitled to any relief on its counterclaims,

including, without limitation, any fine or damages; and

C. A dismissal with prejudice of Defendant's counterclaims;

D. A judgment and order finding that this is an exceptional case within the meaning

of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and awarding to Plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees against

Defendant;

E. Plaintiff's costs of suit against Defendant; and

F. Any and all other relief as the Court may deem appropriate and just under the

circumstances.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

Cobblestone demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: March 16, 2023 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Reza Mirzaie

Reza Mirzaie

CA State Bar No. 246953
Marc A. Fenster
CA State Bar No. 181067
Neil A. Rubin
CA State Bar No. 250761
Christian W. Conkle
CA State Bar No. 306374
Jonathan Ma
CA State Bar No. 312773
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025
Telephone: 310-826-7474

Email: rmirzaie@raklaw.com Email: mfenster@raklaw.com Email: nrubin@raklaw.com

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that on March 16, 2023, all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system pursuant to Local Rule CV-5(a)(3)(A).

/<u>s/ Reza Mirzaie</u>
Reza Mirzaie